

LANE EMDEN PROBLEMS WITH LARGE EXPONENTS AND SINGULAR LIOUVILLE EQUATIONS

MASSIMO GROSSI, CHRISTOPHER GRUMIAU, FILOMENA PACELLA

ABSTRACT. We consider the Lane-Emden Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = |u|^{p-1}u, & \text{in } B, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial B, \end{cases}$$

where $p > 1$ and B denotes the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^2$. We study the asymptotic behavior of the least energy nodal radial solution u_p , as $p \rightarrow +\infty$. Assuming w.l.o.g. that $u_p(0) < 0$, we prove that a suitable rescaling of the negative part u_p^- converges to the unique regular solution of the Liouville equation in $\mathbb{R}^2$, while a suitable rescaling of the positive part u_p^+ converges to a (singular) solution of a singular Liouville equation in $\mathbb{R}^2$. We also get exact asymptotic values for the L^∞ -norms of u_p^- and u_p^+ , as well as an asymptotic estimate of the energy. Finally, we have that the nodal line $\mathcal{N}_p := \{x \in B : |x| = r_p\}$ shrinks to a point and we compute the rate of convergence of r_p .

1. INTRODUCTION

We consider the superlinear elliptic Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = |u|^{p-1}u, & \text{in } B, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial B, \end{cases} \quad (\mathcal{P}_p)$$

where B is the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^2$ and $p > 1$.

In this paper, we are interested in studying the asymptotic behavior, as $p \rightarrow +\infty$, of the least energy sign changing radial solution of $(\mathcal{P}_p)$ which will be denoted by u_p . This solution has two nodal regions and it has been proved in [2] that it is not the least energy nodal solution of Problem $(\mathcal{P}_p)$ in the whole space $H_0^1(B)$. Indeed, u_p has Morse index at least three while the least energy nodal solution has Morse index two (see [3]) and its nodal line touches the boundary [2].

In our previous paper [10], we have analyzed the asymptotic behavior, as $p \rightarrow +\infty$, of low energy nodal solutions w_p of Problem $(\mathcal{P}_p)$, i.e. solutions satisfying :

$$p \int_B |\nabla w_p|^2 \rightarrow 16\pi e \quad (1)$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 35J91; Secondary: 35B44.

Key words and phrases. superlinear elliptic boundary value problem, radial solution, asymptotic behavior.

Partially supported by PRIN-2009-WRJ3W7 grant.

This work has been done while the second author was visiting the Mathematics Department of the University of Roma “Sapienza” supported by INDAM-GNAMPA. He also acknowledges the program “Qualitative study of solutions of variational elliptic partial differential equations. Symmetries, bifurcations, singularities, multiplicity and numerics” of the FNRS, project 2.4.550.10.F of the Fonds de la Recherche Fondamentale Collective for the partial support.

as $p \rightarrow +\infty$ in general bounded regular domains Ω . Under the additional condition (B) that we recall later, we have proved among other results that suitable rescaling of both w_p^+ and w_p^- converge, as $p \rightarrow +\infty$, to the regular solution U of the Liouville equation in $\mathbb{R}^2$ with $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^U < +\infty$. Moreover the L^∞ -norms $\|w_p^\pm\|_\infty$ converge to the same value $\sqrt{e}$ and, in Ω for large p , pw_p looks like the difference of two Green functions, centered at the maximum and the minimum point of w_p which are far away from each other. So for this kind of solutions the positive and negative part separate but have the same profile and approach, after suitable rescaling, the same solution of the same limit problem in $\mathbb{R}^2$, as $p \rightarrow +\infty$.

A similar analysis was carried out in [5] for low energy nodal solutions of an almost critical problem in a bounded domain Ω in $\mathbb{R}^N$, $N \geq 3$, namely :

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = |u|^{(2^*-2)-\varepsilon} u, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (\mathcal{P}2_\varepsilon)$$

where $2^* = \frac{2N}{N-2}$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

A complete classification of these solutions given in [6] together with an existence result of [12] show the presence of both nodal solutions concentrating at two different points or at a single point. In both cases, positive and negative points of the solution converge, after rescaling, to the positive solution of the analogous problem in $\mathbb{R}^N$.

By the results of these two papers it is not difficult to deduce that for the least energy nodal radial solution of $(\mathcal{P}2_\varepsilon)$ in the ball the positive and negative part concentrate at the center of the ball as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, approaching the analogous problem in $\mathbb{R}^N$ and carrying the same energy.

In view of these results, in studying the behavior of the least energy nodal radial solution of $(\mathcal{P}_p)$ as $p \rightarrow +\infty$, one could expect a similar asymptotic behavior.

However that is not the case and we are able to show an interesting new phenomenon : the positive and negative part of u_p concentrate at the center of the ball but the limit problems for u_p^+ and u_p^- are different. Indeed, assuming w.l.o.g. that $u_p(0) < 0$, we prove that a suitable rescaling of u_p^- converges to the regular solution of the Liouville equation in $\mathbb{R}^2$ while a suitable rescaling of u_p^+ converges to a singular solution of a singular Liouville equation in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Moreover the limits of the L^∞ -norms of u_p^+ and u_p^- are different as well as the energies.

To be more precise let us consider the following problems :

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = e^u, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2. \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^u < +\infty, & u(0) = u'(0) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (L1)$$

which has the unique regular solution

$$U(x) := \log \left(\frac{1}{(1 + \frac{1}{8}|x|^2)^2} \right) \quad (2)$$

and, for δ_0 being the Dirac measure at the origin,

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = e^u + H\delta_0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^u < +\infty, \end{cases} \quad (L2)$$

where H is a constant, and whose radial solutions can be all computed explicitly. Note that (L1) is the classical Liouville equation in $\mathbb{R}^2$ while (L2), after an easy transformation, reduces to a limiting equation which appears in the blow-up analysis of periodic vortices for the Chern-Simons theory (see [13]).

Then, denoting by

- $\mathcal{N}_p = \{x \in B : u_p(x) = 0\} = \{x \in B : |x| = r_p\}$ the nodal circle;
- $s_p \in (0, 1)$ the value of the radius such that $u_p^+(x) = \|u_p^+\|_\infty$ for $|x| = s_p$;
- $\varepsilon_p^\pm = (p\|u_p^\pm\|_\infty^{p-1})^{-2}$;

we have the following results :

Theorem 1. i) Let $z_p^- : B\left(0, \frac{r_p}{\varepsilon_p^-}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined as

$$z_p^-(x) = -\frac{p}{\|u_p^-\|_\infty} (u_p^-(\varepsilon_p^- x) + u_p(0)) \quad (3)$$

then $z_p^- \rightarrow -U$ in $\mathcal{C}_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ as $p \rightarrow +\infty$ (U as in (2)).

ii) Let $l = \lim_{p \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+}$. Then, $l > 0$ and defining the one-variable function

$$z_p^+(|x|) = z_p^+(r) = \frac{p}{u_p(s_p)} (u_p^+(s_p + \varepsilon_p^+ r) - u_p(s_p)) \quad (4)$$

in the interval $\left(\frac{r_p - s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+}, \frac{1 - s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+}\right)$, we get $z_p^+(|x| - l) = z_p^+(r - l) \rightarrow Z_l(|x|) = \log\left(\frac{2\alpha^2 \beta^\alpha |x|^{\alpha-2}}{(\beta^\alpha + |x|^\alpha)^2}\right)$

in $\mathcal{C}_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\})$ as $p \rightarrow +\infty$ for $\alpha = \sqrt{2l^2 + 4}$ and $\beta = \left(\frac{\alpha+2}{\alpha-2}\right)^{1/\alpha} l$. Moreover, $Z_l(|x|)$ is a radial (distribution) solution of (L2) for $H := -\int_0^l e^{Z_l(s)} ds$.

Theorem 2. We have, as $p \rightarrow +\infty$,

$$\|u_p^-\|_\infty \rightarrow \frac{\sqrt{e}}{t} e^{\frac{\bar{t}}{2(t+\sqrt{e})}} \approx 2.46, \quad (5)$$

$$\|u_p^+\|_\infty \rightarrow e^{\frac{\bar{t}}{2(t+\sqrt{e})}} \approx 1.17 \quad (6)$$

where $\bar{t} \approx 0.7875$ is the unique root of the equation $2\sqrt{e} \log t + t = 0$, and

$$p \int_B |\nabla u_p|^2 \rightarrow 8\pi e^{\frac{\bar{t}}{t+\sqrt{e}}} \left(\frac{e}{t^2} + 1 + \frac{2\sqrt{e}}{t} \right) \approx 332. \quad (7)$$

To prove the previous results we start showing that the nodal line shrinks to the origin. Later, as a consequence of the rescaling argument and of the estimates needed to prove Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 we also get the rate of convergence of the “nodal radius” r_p . More precisely we show :

Theorem 3. We have

$$r_p^{2/(p-1)} \rightarrow \frac{\bar{t}}{e^{\frac{\bar{t}}{2(t+\sqrt{e})}}} \approx 0.67$$

for $\bar{t}$ as in Theorem 2.

Remark 4. As mentioned before we already know by [2] that the solutions u_p are not the least energy nodal solutions of $(\mathcal{P}_p)$ in the whole $H_0^1(B)$. The convergence result (7) shows that u_p are not even “low energy” solutions in the sense that they do not satisfy (1). Moreover, by comparing (1) and (7) we get the exact difference between the limit energies of this kind of solutions.

The proofs of the above theorems are quite long and technically complicated. They follow from several delicate asymptotic estimates on u_p^- and u_p^+ . In particular, the ones which concern the asymptotic behavior of u_p^+ , i.e. the part of u_p supported in an annulus are nontrivial and crucial for the final results.

In the final part of the paper we shortly complete the analysis of low-energy nodal solutions done in [10] in the case of the ball by considering solutions w_p which satisfy (1) and

$$\exists K > 0 \text{ such that } p |w_p(x_p^+) + w_p(x_p^-)| \leq K \quad (B)$$

and by defining x_p^+ and x_p^- such that $w_p(x_p^\pm) = \pm \|w_p^\pm\|_\infty$.

We prove :

Theorem 5. *If w_p are sign changing solutions of $(\mathcal{P}_p)$ with Morse index two, satisfying (1) and (B) with $x^\pm = \lim_{p \rightarrow +\infty} x_p^\pm$ then pw_p converge, as $p \rightarrow +\infty$ in $\mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{x^+, x^-\})$ to a function which is even with respect to the diameter passing through x^+ and x^- and odd with respect to the orthogonal diameter.*

The previous result is a further step in the direction of proving that low energy solutions are indeed antisymmetric functions as it is conjectured to be the case for least energy nodal solution in the ball.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we prove some preliminary estimates on u_p and u_p^- and we show that the nodal line shrinks to the origin. In Section 3 we prove the important estimates about u_p^+ . In Section 4 we prove some crucial lemmas about the limit values of $\|u_p^+\|_\infty$ and r_p , as $p \rightarrow +\infty$. From these we deduce the proofs of Theorem 1, Theorem 2 and Theorem 3. Finally, in Section 5 we prove Theorem 5.

2. PRELIMINARY ESTIMATES ON u_p AND u_p^-

2.1. Control of the total energy. We first recall that solutions of problem $(\mathcal{P}_p)$ are the critical points of the energy functional $\mathcal{E}_p$ defined on $H_0^1(B)$ by

$$\mathcal{E}_p(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_B |\nabla u|^2 - \frac{1}{p+1} \int_B |u|^{p+1}.$$

If u is a nodal solution then

$$\int_B |\nabla u^+|^2 = \int_B |u^+|^{p+1} \text{ and } \int_B |\nabla u^-|^2 = \int_B |u^-|^{p+1}. \quad (8)$$

So, $\mathcal{E}_p(u) = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p+1}\right) \int_B |\nabla u|^2$. Moreover, if u is a radial nodal solution with least energy then $\mathcal{E}_p(u) \leq \mathcal{E}_p(v)$ for any radial function v belonging to the nodal Nehari set $N_p := \{u : u^\pm \neq 0 \text{ and } \int_B |\nabla u^\pm|^2 = \int_B |u^\pm|^{p+1}\}$.

This first proposition gives a control on the energy and, thus, on the H_0^1 and L^{p+1} -norms. Let us remark that this result will be improved in Theorem 2.

Proposition 2.1. *$p \int_B |\nabla u_p|^2 \leq C$ for a positive constant C independent of p .*

Proof. On one hand, let us consider the unique positive radial solution v_p of $-\Delta u = u^p$ in $A_{R_p} := B \setminus B[0, R_p]$ with $u = 0$ on ∂A_{R_p} .

Using some results of [9] (see also [8]), by some delicate and nontrivial estimates in [7] it is proved that for $R_p = e^{-\alpha p}$ and $\alpha > 0$,

$$p \int_A |\nabla v_p|^2 \leq 8\pi e \frac{e^{2\alpha-1}}{\alpha}, \quad (9)$$

for p sufficiently large.

On the other hand, let us consider the unique positive radial solution η_p of $-\Delta u = u^p$ in $B(0, R_p)$ and define $\eta_p(r) = \eta_p(|x|)$. We get that $\eta_p(r) = R_p^{-2/(p-1)} f_p(r/R_p)$ where f_p is the unique positive solution of $-\Delta u = u^p$ on the unit ball B . In [1],[8], it is proved that $p \int_B |\nabla f_p|^2 = p \int_B f_p^{p+1} \rightarrow 8\pi e$ as $p \rightarrow +\infty$. So, $p \int_0^1 f_p'(r)^2 r dr = p \int_0^1 f_p^{p+1}(r) r dr \rightarrow 4e$ when $p \rightarrow +\infty$. Thus,

$$p \int_0^{R_p} \eta_p'(r)^2 r dr = p \int_0^{R_p} \eta_p^{p+1}(r) r dr = \frac{p}{R_p^{4/(p-1)}} \int_0^1 f_p'(r)^2 r dr = \frac{4e + o(1)}{R_p^{4/(p-1)}}. \quad (10)$$

For $R_p = e^{-\alpha p}$, we get $4e^{1+4\alpha}$ and when $\alpha = \frac{1}{5}$, we get $4e^{\frac{9}{5}}$.

Then, as u_p is a least energy nodal radial solution and as the function defined by v_p in $B(0, R_p)$ and η_p on A_{R_p} is radial and belongs to the nodal Nehari set, we get that

$$p \int_B |\nabla u_p|^2 \leq 8\pi e^{\frac{9}{5}} + 40\pi e e^{\frac{2}{5}} \approx 339.$$

□

2.2. The nodal line shrinks to $(0,0)$. In the sequel we will use the well known "radial lemma" due to Strauss (see [14]). Let us denote by $H_{\text{rad}}(B)$ the subspace of $H_0^1(B)$ given by radial functions.

Lemma 2.2. *If $u \in H_{\text{rad}}(B)$ then there exists c_N such that*

$$|u(r)| \leq c_N \frac{\|u\|_{H^1}}{r^{(N-1)/2}} \quad \forall u \in H_{\text{rad}}(B)$$

for any $r \neq 0$.

The following lemma shows that $\|u_p^\pm\|_\infty$ do not go to 0.

Lemma 2.3. *For any $p > 1$ we have that $\|u_p^\pm\|_\infty \geq \lambda_1^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$ where λ_1 is the first eigenvalue of $-\Delta$ on B .*

Proof. Using Poincaré's inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \frac{\int_B |u_p^\pm|^{p+1}}{\int_B |\nabla u_p^\pm|^2} \leq \frac{\|u_p^\pm\|_\infty^{p-1} \int_B (u_p^\pm)^2}{\int_\Omega |\nabla u_p^\pm|^2} \\ &\leq \|u_p^\pm\|_\infty^{p-1} \lambda_1^{-1}(\tilde{\Omega}_p^\pm), \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\Omega}_p^\pm$ are the nodal domains of u_p . As $\tilde{\Omega}_p^\pm \subseteq B$, we have $\lambda_1(\tilde{\Omega}_p^\pm) \geq \lambda_1$ which ends the proof. □

Remark 2.4. *Using Proposition 2.7 of [10], we also get that $\|u_p^\pm\|_\infty$ are bounded from above. So, Lemma 2.3 implies that there exists $0 < a < b$ such that $a < \|u_p^\pm\|_\infty < b$ for any $p > 1$.*

Let us denote, as in Section 1, by r_p the "nodal radius", i.e. $u_p(x) = 0$ for $|x| = r_p$. We will prove that $r_p \rightarrow 0$ as $p \rightarrow +\infty$.

Proposition 2.5. *$r_p \rightarrow 0$ as $p \rightarrow +\infty$.*

Proof. Assume by contradiction that $r_p \geq r_* > 0$. Then, by the radial lemma 2.2, we get

$$u_p(s_p) \leq C \frac{\|u_p\|_{H^1}}{s_p^{1/2}},$$

where $u_p(s_p) = \|u_p^+\|_\infty$.

As $\liminf_{p \rightarrow \infty} u_p(s_p) \geq 1$ (see Lemma 2.3), multiplying by $\sqrt{p}$, for p large we get

$$C \left(p \int_0^1 u_p'(r)^2 r dr \right)^{1/2} \geq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{p} r_*^{1/2} \rightarrow +\infty$$

which is a contradiction with Proposition 2.1 . $\square$

Moreover, using the same kind of argument as in the proof of Proposition 2.1, we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 2.6. *We have, as $p \rightarrow +\infty$,*

- $\max_{x \in B(0, r_p)} |u_p(x)| = r_p^{-2/(p-1)} (\sqrt{e} + o(1))$;
- $p \int_0^{r_p} |u_p(r)|^{p+1} r dr = \frac{4e+o(1)}{r_p^{4/(p-1)}} ;$
- $p u_p'(r_p) = p r_p^{-1} \int_0^{r_p} |u_p(r)|^p r dr = \frac{4\sqrt{e}+o(1)}{r_p^{2/(p-1)+1}} ;$
- $p \int_{B(0, r_p)} |u_p(x)|^p dx = \frac{C+o(1)}{r_p^{2/p-1}}.$

Proof. We again consider the function $f_p = r_p^{2/(p-1)} u_p(r_p r)$ which is the ground state of our problem on B and we use estimates on f given in [1, 10]. In particular, we use that $p \int_0^1 |\nabla f_p(r)|^2 r dr \rightarrow 4e$ and $\|f_p\|_\infty \rightarrow \sqrt{e}$. $\square$

As $r_p \leq 1$, let us remark that the second point of Proposition 2.6 implies that there exists $0 < a$ such that $a < r_p^{\frac{2}{p-1}} \leq 1$. From now on, let us define

$$0 < r_\infty := \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} r_p^{\frac{2}{p-1}}. \quad (11)$$

2.3. Rescaling in the ball $B(0, r_p)$.

Proposition 2.7. *For $\varepsilon_p^- := (p \|u_p\|_\infty^{p-1})^{-2} = (p |u_p(0)|^{p-1})^{-2}$, the rescaled functions $z_p^- : B(0, r_p/\varepsilon_p^-) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by*

$$z_p^-(x) = -\frac{p}{|u_p(0)|} (u_p^-(\varepsilon_p^- |x|) + u_p(0))$$

converges to $-U$ in $\mathcal{C}_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$, U defined as in (2).

Proof. We have that z_p^- satisfies

$$-\Delta z_p^- = - \left| 1 - \frac{z_p^-}{p} \right|^{p-1} \left(1 - \frac{z_p^-}{p} \right) \quad \text{in } B \left(0, \frac{r_p}{\varepsilon_p^-} \right).$$

So, a classical argument (see [1, 8, 10]) gives that $z_p^- \rightarrow z_\infty$ in $\mathcal{C}_{loc}^1(D)$, where D is the limit domain of $B(0, r_p/\varepsilon_p^-)$ as $p \rightarrow +\infty$ and $-\Delta z_\infty = -e^{-z_\infty}$.

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{r_p}{\varepsilon_p^-} &= \left(r_p^{2/(p-1)} ((\varepsilon_p^-)^{-2})^{1/(p-1)} \right)^{(p-1)/2} \\ &= \left((r_\infty + o(1)) p^{1/(p-1)} |u_p(0)| \right)^{(p-1)/2}. \end{aligned}$$

As $p^{1/(p-1)} \rightarrow 1$ and, by Proposition 2.6, $|u_p(0)| = \frac{\sqrt{e}+o(1)}{r_\infty}$, we also get

$$\frac{r_p}{\varepsilon_p^-} = (\sqrt{e} + o(1))^{(p-1)/2} \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Hence the limit domain D is the whole $\mathbb{R}^2$. Let us show that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-z_\infty} < +\infty$. By Proposition 2.1,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-z_\infty} \leq \liminf \int_{B(0, r_p/\varepsilon_p^-)} |1 - z_p^-/p|^p = \liminf \frac{p}{|u_p(0)|} \int_{B(0, r_p)} |u_p^-|^p < +\infty$$

using Hölder's inequality. Therefore we get the assertion recalling that the function $-z_\infty = U := \log \left(\frac{1}{(1+\frac{1}{8}|x|^2)^2} \right)$ solves the problem (L1). $\square$

3. ESTIMATES ON u_p^+

In this section we prove the following crucial point on the convergence of the rescaling of the positive part u_p^+ .

Proposition 3.1. *For $\varepsilon_p^+ := (p\|u_p^+\|_\infty^{p-1})^{-2} = (pu_p(s_p)^{p-1})^{-2}$ and $l := \lim_{p \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+} > 0$, the one variable rescaled function $z_p^+ : \tilde{A}_p := (\frac{r_p - s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+}, \frac{1 - s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by*

$$z_p^+(r) = \frac{p}{u_p(s_p)} (u_p^+(s_p + \varepsilon_p^+ r) - u_p(s_p)) \quad (12)$$

converges to a function $\tilde{z}_l(r)$ in $\mathcal{C}_{loc}^1(-l, +\infty)$. The function $\tilde{z}_l(r-l)$ solves equation (L2) for $H := \int_0^l e^{\tilde{z}_l(s-l)} ds$. Moreover, $\tilde{z}_l(r-l) = Z_l(r) = \log \left(\frac{2\alpha^2 \beta^\alpha |x|^{\alpha-2}}{(\beta^\alpha + |x|^\alpha)^2} \right)$ for $\alpha = \sqrt{2l^2 + 4}$ and $\beta = \left(\frac{\alpha+2}{\alpha-2} \right)^{1/\alpha} l$.

We already know that u_p^+ is a positive radial solution to

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = |u|^{p-1}u, & \text{in } A_{r_p} := \{r_p < |x| < 1\}, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial A_{r_p}. \end{cases}$$

As u_p is radial, we have $u_p^+(x) = u_p(r)$ with $r \in (r_p, 1)$. It satisfies $-u_p'' - \frac{1}{r}u_p' = u_p^p$ in the interval $(r_p, 1)$ with the Dirichlet boundary condition. We get that z_p^+ satisfies for any $p > 1$

$$\begin{cases} -(z_p^+)''(r) - \frac{1}{r+s_p/\varepsilon_p^+} (z_p^+)'(r) = \left(1 + \frac{z_p^+(r)}{p} \right)^p & \text{in } \tilde{A}_p, \\ z_p^+ \leq 0, z_p^+ \left(\frac{r_p - s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+} \right) = z_p^+ \left(\frac{1 - s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+} \right) = -p \text{ and } z_p^+(0) = (z_p^+)'(0) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

So, we have three possibilities:

$$\frac{r_p - s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+} \rightarrow -\infty, \quad \frac{r_p - s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{r_p - s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+} \rightarrow -l < 0, \quad \text{for some } l > 0.$$

The two following results show that the two first possibilities cannot happen.

Lemma 3.2. $\frac{r_p - s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+} \rightarrow -\infty$ cannot happen.

Proof. Otherwise, as $r_p > 0$, we have that $s_p/\varepsilon_p^+ \rightarrow +\infty$. Passing to the limit in (13), we get $z_p^+ \rightarrow \tilde{z}_l$ in $\mathcal{C}_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R})$ where $\tilde{z}_l$ solves $-\tilde{z}_l' = e^{\tilde{z}_l}$ with $\tilde{z}_l(0) = \tilde{z}_l'(0) = 0$. We know that the unique solution of this problem is given by

$$\tilde{z}_l(s) = \log \frac{4e^{\sqrt{2}s}}{(1 + e^{\sqrt{2}s})^2}.$$

Integrating the equation from r_p to s_p , we get

$$-\int_{r_p}^{s_p} (u_p'(r)r)' dr = \int_{r_p}^{s_p} u_p^p(r)r dr.$$

As $u_p'(s_p) = 0$, by the change of variable $r = s_p + \varepsilon_p^+ s$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} u_p'(r_p)r_p &= \int_{r_p}^{s_p} u_p^p(r)r dr \\ &= \varepsilon_p^+ u_p^p(s_p) \int_{\frac{r_p - s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+}}^0 (1 + z_p^+(s)/p)^p (s_p + \varepsilon_p^+ s) ds \\ &= \varepsilon_p^+ s_p u_p^p(s_p) \int_{\frac{r_p - s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+}}^0 (1 + z_p^+(s)/p)^p ds + (\varepsilon_p^+)^2 u_p^p(s_p) \int_{\frac{r_p - s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+}}^0 (1 + z_p^+(s)/p)^p s ds \\ &\geq \varepsilon_p^+ s_p u_p^p(s_p) \int_{\frac{r_p - s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+}}^0 (1 + z_p^+(s)/p)^p ds \\ &= \frac{s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+} \frac{u_p(s_p)}{p} \int_{\frac{r_p - s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+}}^0 (1 + z_p^+(s)/p)^p ds. \end{aligned}$$

By Fatou's lemma, $\int_{\frac{r_p - s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+}}^0 (1 + z_p^+(s)/p)^p ds \geq \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{\tilde{z}_l} = C_0 > 0$. Since $u_p'(r_p) = \frac{C+o(1)}{p^{(p+1)/(p-1)}}$ by Proposition 2.6, we get $\frac{C+o(1)}{r_p^{2/(p-1)}} \geq \frac{C_0 u_p(s_p) s_p}{2\varepsilon_p^+}$. This is a contradiction as the right-hand side is not bounded ($\frac{s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+} \rightarrow +\infty$ and $u_p(s_p)$ stays away from 0 by Proposition 2.3) and the left-hand side is bounded by Proposition 2.6. $\square$

Lemma 3.3. $\frac{r_p - s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+} \rightarrow 0$ cannot happen.

Proof. Integrating u_p between 0 and s_p , as $-(u_p'(r)r)' = |u_p(r)|^{p-1}u_p(r)r$, we have

$$0 = \int_0^{s_p} |u_p(r)|^{p-1}u_p(r)r dr = -\int_0^{r_p} |u_p(r)|^p r dr + \int_{r_p}^{s_p} u_p^p(r)r dr.$$

So, using Proposition 2.6 and since there exists $0 < a$ such that $a < r_p^{2/(p-1)} \leq 1$, we have $C_2 \geq p \int_0^{r_p} |u_p(r)|^p r dr = p \int_{r_p}^{s_p} u_p^p(r)r dr = \frac{4\sqrt{e}+o(1)}{r_p^{2/(p-1)}} \geq C_1 > 0$.

Let us consider the two alternatives

- i) $\frac{s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+} \leq C$
- ii) $\frac{s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+} \rightarrow +\infty$ as $p \rightarrow +\infty$.

In the first case, using the change of variable $r = s_p + \varepsilon_p^+ s$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} p \int_{r_p}^{s_p} u_p^p(r) r dr &= p \frac{s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+} (\varepsilon_p^+)^2 \int_{\frac{r_p - s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+}}^0 u_p^p(s_p + \varepsilon_p^+ s) ds + p (\varepsilon_p^+)^2 \int_{\frac{r_p - s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+}}^0 u_p^p(s_p + \varepsilon_p^+ s) s ds \\ &= \frac{s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+} u_p(s_p) \int_{\frac{r_p - s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+}}^0 \left(\frac{u_p(s_p + \varepsilon_p^+ s)}{u_p(s_p)} \right)^p ds + u_p(s_p) \int_{\frac{r_p - s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+}}^0 \left(\frac{u_p(s_p + \varepsilon_p^+ s)}{u_p(s_p)} \right)^p s ds. \end{aligned}$$

As $u_p(s_p)$ is bounded (see Remark 2.4), we get

$$p \int_{r_p}^{s_p} u_p^p(r) r dr \leq C \left(\frac{s_p - r_p}{\varepsilon_p^+} \right) \rightarrow 0$$

which gives a contradiction.

In the second case, as $\frac{s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+} \rightarrow +\infty$, $z_p \rightarrow \tilde{z}_l$ in $\mathcal{C}_{\text{loc}}^1(l, +\infty)$ where $\tilde{z}_l$ solves $-u'' = e^u$. In this case, $l = \lim_{p \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{r_p - s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+} = 0$. Then, putting again $r = s_p + \varepsilon_p^+ s$,

$$\begin{aligned} p \int_{r_p}^1 u_p^p(r) r dr &\geq p \int_{s_p}^1 u_p^p(r) r dr \\ &= u_p(s_p) \frac{s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+} \int_0^{\frac{1-s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+}} \left(1 + \frac{z_p(s)}{p} \right)^p ds + u_p(s_p) \int_0^{\frac{1-s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+}} \left(1 + \frac{z_p(s)}{p} \right)^p s ds. \end{aligned}$$

By Fatou's lemma, we get $\int_0^{\frac{1-s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+}} \left(1 + \frac{z_p(s)}{p} \right)^p ds \geq \int_0^{+\infty} e^{\tilde{z}_l(s)} ds > 0$ which implies that

$$p \int_{r_p}^1 u_p^p(r) r dr \geq u_p(s_p) \frac{s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{\tilde{z}_l(s)} ds + u_p(s_p) \int_0^{+\infty} e^{\tilde{z}_l(s)} s ds$$

which is a contradiction as $\frac{s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+} \rightarrow +\infty$ and the left-hand side is bounded. $\square$

Now, we consider the "good" case $\frac{r_p - s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+} \rightarrow -l < 0$. The following lemma proves in particular that $s_p \rightarrow 0$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $l > 0$ be such that $\frac{r_p - s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+} \rightarrow -l$, then $\frac{s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+} \rightarrow l$ as $p \rightarrow +\infty$.*

Proof. Let $m = \lim_{p \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+}$. We already know that $-l + o(1) = \frac{r_p - s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+} \geq -\frac{s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+} = -m + o(1)$ which implies that $-l \geq -m$. Arguing by contradiction, let us assume that $-l > -m$.

On one hand, as $-(z_p^+)'' - \frac{1}{r+s_p/\varepsilon_p^+} (z_p^+)' = (1 + z_p^+/p)^p$ in $\left(\frac{r_p - s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+}, \frac{1-s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+} \right)$, integrating we get

$$z_p'(r) \left(r + \frac{s_p}{\varepsilon_p} \right) = \int_r^0 \left(1 + \frac{z_p}{p} \right)^p \left(s + \frac{s_p}{\varepsilon_p} \right) ds, \quad \text{for } r \in (-l, 0).$$

Then,

$$|z_p'(r)| |r + m + o(1)| \leq \int_{-l}^0 (|s| + |m| + o(1)) ds \leq C_1. \quad (14)$$

As $-l > -m$ we get $|r + m + o(1)| \geq C_2 > 0$ which implies that $|z_p'(r)| \leq C_3$ uniformly in $(-l, 0)$ and in p (for p large). On the other hand, as $z_p(0) = 0$ and $z_p \left(\frac{r_p - s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+} \right) = -p$, by the mean value theorem in $(-l, 0)$, we get that there exists

$t_p \in (-l, 0)$ such that $z_p'(t_p) \geq \frac{p}{l}$ which gives a contradiction as z_p' is uniformly bounded. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 3.1 : We know that $z_p^+ \rightarrow \tilde{z}_l$ in $\mathcal{C}_{\text{loc}}^1(-l, +\infty)$ and $\tilde{z}_l$ verifies

$$\begin{cases} -\tilde{z}_l'' - \frac{1}{r+m}\tilde{z}_l' = e^{\tilde{z}_l} & \text{in } (-l, +\infty), \\ \tilde{z}_l \leq 0, \tilde{z}_l(0) = \tilde{z}_l'(0) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

We already know that $z_p^+ \rightarrow \tilde{z}_l$ in compact sets in $(-l, +\infty)$ and $\tilde{z}_l$ satisfies equation (15). So $Z_l(s) := \tilde{z}_l(s-l)$ solves

$$\begin{cases} -Z_l'' - \frac{1}{r}Z_l' = e^{Z_l} & \text{in } (0, +\infty), \\ Z_l \leq 0, Z_l(l) = Z_l'(l) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

Let us compute the solutions of equation (16). Setting $v(t) = Z_l(e^t) + 2t$ we get that $-v'' = e^{2t}e^{Z_l(e^t)} = e^v$ in $(-\infty, +\infty)$. Thus all solutions are given by

$$v_{\delta,y}(t) = \log \left(\frac{4}{\delta^2} \frac{e^{\sqrt{2}(t-y)/\delta}}{(1 + e^{\sqrt{2}(t-y)/\delta})^2} \right)$$

for $\delta > 0, t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence

$$Z_l(t) = \log \left(\frac{4}{\delta^2} \frac{e^{\sqrt{2}(\log t - y)/\delta}}{(1 + e^{\sqrt{2}(\log t - y)/\delta})^2} \right) - 2 \log t. \quad (17)$$

Observing that from $Z_l'(t) = 0$ we get $\frac{1-\sqrt{2}\delta}{1+\sqrt{2}\delta} = e^{\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\delta}(\log t - y)}$. Moreover, $Z_l(t) = 0$ for $t = \frac{\sqrt{1-2\delta^2}}{\delta}$. As $Z_l(l) = Z_l'(l) = 0$, we get that $l^2 = \frac{1-2\delta^2}{\delta^2}$ which implies that $\delta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2+l^2}}$. Inserting those estimates in (17) gives that $Z_l = \log \left(\frac{2\alpha^2\beta^\alpha|x|^{\alpha-2}}{(\beta^\alpha+|x|^\alpha)^2} \right)$ for $\alpha = \sqrt{2l^2+4}$ and $\beta = \left(\frac{\alpha+2}{\alpha-2}\right)^{1/\alpha} l$.

To complete the result, we prove that

$$\int_{-l}^{+\infty} (\tilde{z}_l'(r)(r+l))' \varphi'(r) dr = \int_{-l}^{+\infty} e^{\tilde{z}_l}(r+l) \varphi(r) dr + \int_0^l e^{\tilde{z}_l(s-l)} s ds \varphi(-l)$$

for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty([-l, +\infty))$. Let us fix a function $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty([-l, +\infty))$. Multiplying by φ the equation solved by z_p^+ and integrating by parts, we get for p large that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\frac{r_p-s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+}}^{\frac{1-s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+}} (z_p^+)'(r) \left(r + \frac{s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+}\right) \varphi'(r) dr + (z_p^+)' \left(\frac{r_p-s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+}\right) \frac{r_p}{\varepsilon_p^+} \varphi \left(\frac{r_p-s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+}\right) = \\ \int_{\frac{r_p-s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+}}^{\frac{1-s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+}} \left(1 + \frac{z_p^+(r)}{p}\right)^p \left(r + \frac{s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+}\right) \varphi(r) dr. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Since $\int_{\frac{r_p-s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+}}^{\frac{1-s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+}} (z_p^+)'(r) \left(r + \frac{s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+}\right) \varphi'(r) dr \rightarrow \int_{-l}^{+\infty} \tilde{z}_l'(r)(r+l) \varphi'(r) dr$ and as $\int_{\frac{r_p-s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+}}^{\frac{1-s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+}} \left(1 + \frac{z_p^+(r)}{p}\right)^p \varphi(r) dr \rightarrow \int_{-l}^{+\infty} e^{\tilde{z}_l(r)} \varphi(r) dr$, it remains to compute $\lim_{p \rightarrow +\infty} (z_p^+)' \left(\frac{r_p-s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+}\right) \frac{r_p}{\varepsilon_p^+} \varphi \left(\frac{r_p-s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+}\right)$ to get the claim. As $(z_p^+)'(r) = \frac{p\varepsilon_p^+}{u_p(s_p)} u_p'(s_p + \varepsilon_p^+ r)$, we have

$$(z_p^+)' \left(\frac{r_p-s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+}\right) \frac{r_p}{\varepsilon_p^+} = \frac{p r_p}{u_p(s_p)} u_p'(r_p).$$

Integrating by parts the equation satisfied by u_p , $u'_p(r_p)r_p = \int_{r_p}^{s_p} u_p^p(r)r dr$, we finally get substituting again $r = s_p + \varepsilon_p^+ s$

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{p \rightarrow +\infty} (z_p^+)' \left(\frac{r_p - s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+} \right) \frac{r_p}{\varepsilon_p^+} &= \lim_{p \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{p}{u_p(s_p)} \int_{r_p}^{s_p} u_p^p(r)r dr \\ &= \lim_{p \rightarrow +\infty} p(\varepsilon_p^+)^2 u_p(s_p)^{p-1} \int_{\frac{r_p - s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+}}^0 (1 + z_p^+(s)/p)^p \left(\frac{s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+} + s \right) ds \\ &= \int_{-l}^0 e^{\tilde{z}_l(s)} (s+l) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Then for $H = -\int_{-l}^0 e^{\tilde{z}_l(s)} (s+l) ds$, passing to the limit in equation (18) we obtain

$$\int_{-l}^{+\infty} (\tilde{z}'_l(r)(r+l))' \varphi'(r) dr = \int_{-l}^{+\infty} e^{\tilde{z}_l(r+l)} \varphi + H \varphi(-l)$$

for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty([-l, +\infty))$. □

4. FINAL ESTIMATES AND PROOFS OF THEOREM 1, THEOREM 2 AND THEOREM 3

We start with some preliminary identities.

Lemma 4.1. *For any $r \in (0, 1)$, $u'_p(r)r \log r - u_p(r) = \int_r^1 s \log(s) u_p^p(s) ds$. So, $\int_{s_p}^1 s \log(s) u_p^p(s) ds = -u_p(s_p)$.*

Proof. By the equation, we have $-\int_r^1 (u'_p(s)s)' \log s ds = \int_r^1 u_p^p(s)s \log s ds$. Integrating by parts the first integral we derive the first assertion. To get the second one, we just have to take $r = s_p$. □

Lemma 4.2. *We have*

$$1 = -\frac{1}{2} \log(r_\infty u_\infty) \int_0^l e^{Z_l(t)} t dt = -\frac{1}{2} \log(r_\infty u_\infty) (\alpha - 2)$$

where α is given in Proposition 3.1, r_∞ by the equation (11) and $u_\infty := \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} u_p(s_p)$.

Proof. We first observe that, by Proposition 3.1 and using the definition of β ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^l e^{Z_l(t)} t dt &= \int_0^l 2\alpha^2 \frac{\beta^\alpha t^{\alpha-1}}{(\beta^\alpha + t^\alpha)^2} dt \\ &= 2\alpha \frac{l^\alpha}{\frac{\alpha+2}{\alpha-2} l^\alpha + l^\alpha} \\ &= \alpha - 2. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying $-(u'_p(r)r)' = u_p^p(r)r$ by $\log r - \log r_p$ and integrating by parts leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{r_p}^{s_p} (\log r - \log r_p) u_p^p(r)r dr &= -\int_{r_p}^{s_p} (u'_p(r)r)' (\log r - \log r_p) dr \\ &= \int_{r_p}^{s_p} u'_p(r)r \frac{1}{r} dr \\ &= u_p(s_p). \end{aligned}$$

Then, as $\frac{s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+} \rightarrow l$ (see Lemma 3.4), we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
\log(s_p + \varepsilon_p^+ s) - \log r_p &= \log(l\varepsilon_p^+ + \varepsilon_p^+ s + o(1)\varepsilon_p^+) - \log r_p \\
&= \log(l + s + o(1)) + \log \frac{\varepsilon_p^+}{r_p} \\
&= \log(l + s + o(1)) + \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1}{pu_p(s_p)^{p-1} r_p^2} \\
&= \log(l + s + o(1)) + \frac{p-1}{2} \log \frac{1}{p^{1/(p-1)} u_p(s_p) r_p^{2/(p-1)}} \\
&= \log(l + s + o(1)) + \frac{p-1}{2} \log \frac{1+o(1)}{r_\infty u_\infty}.
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

With the usual change of variable $r = s_p + \varepsilon_p^+ s$, we get, using also (19)

$$\begin{aligned}
u_p(s_p) &= \varepsilon_p^+ \int_{\frac{r_p - s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+}}^0 (\log(s_p + \varepsilon_p^+ s) - \log r_p) \frac{u_p^p(s_p + \varepsilon_p^+ s)}{u_p(s_p)^p} u_p(s_p)^p (s_p + \varepsilon_p^+ s) ds \\
&= (\varepsilon_p^+)^2 u_p(s_p)^p \int_{\frac{r_p - s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+}}^0 \left(\log(l + s + o(1)) + \frac{p-1}{2} \log \left(\frac{1+o(1)}{r_\infty u_\infty} \right) \right) (1 + z_p^+/p)^p \left(\frac{s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+} + s \right) ds \\
&= \frac{u_p(s_p)}{p} \int_{\frac{r_p - s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+}}^0 \left(\log(l + s + o(1)) + \frac{p-1}{2} \log \left(\frac{1+o(1)}{r_\infty u_\infty} \right) \right) (1 + z_p^+/p)^p \left(\frac{s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+} + s \right) ds
\end{aligned}$$

which converges to $0 + u_\infty \left(\frac{-1}{2} \right) \log(r_\infty u_\infty) \int_{-l}^0 e^{\tilde{z}_l(s)} (l + s) ds$ as $p \rightarrow +\infty$. So we obtain the claim. $\square$

Lemma 4.3. $\frac{4\sqrt{e}}{r_\infty} = u_\infty(\alpha - 2)$.

Proof. As $-\int_{r_p}^{s_p} (u_p'(r)r)' dr = \int_{r_p}^{s_p} u_p^p(r)r dr$, $\frac{r_p - s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+} \rightarrow -l$ and $\frac{s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+} \rightarrow l$, putting $r = s_p + \varepsilon_p^+ s$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
u_p'(r_p)r_p &= \int_{r_p}^{s_p} u_p^p(r)r dr \\
&= \varepsilon_p^+ \int_{\frac{r_p - s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+}}^0 u_p^p(s_p + \varepsilon_p^+ s)(s_p + \varepsilon_p^+ s) ds \\
&= \frac{u_p(s_p)}{p} \int_{\frac{r_p - s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+}}^0 (1 + z_p^+/p)^p \left(\frac{s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+} + s \right) ds \\
&= \frac{u_\infty + o(1)}{p} \left(\int_{-l}^0 e^{\tilde{z}_l(s)} (l + s) + o(1) ds \right) \\
&= \frac{u_\infty + o(1)}{p} \left(\int_0^l e^{Z_l(s)} s ds + o(1) ds \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Since by Proposition 2.6, $u_p'(r_p)r_p \approx \frac{4\sqrt{e}}{pr_p^{2/(p-1)}}$, we get our statement using Lemma 4.2. $\square$

Proposition 4.4. We have that $r_\infty u_\infty$ is the unique root of the equation

$$2\sqrt{e} \log x + x = 0. \tag{20}$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.3, we get that $\frac{4\sqrt{e}}{r_\infty} = \frac{2u_\infty}{-\log(r_\infty u_\infty)}$. It implies that $2\sqrt{e}\log(r_\infty u_\infty) = -r_\infty u_\infty$ which ends the proof. $\square$

Let us denote by $\bar{t}$ the unique solution of $2\sqrt{e}\log t + t = 0$. Then

$$r_\infty = \frac{\bar{t}}{u_\infty}. \quad (21)$$

Remark 4.5. Lemma 4.3 and equation (21) imply that

$$\alpha = 2 + \frac{4\sqrt{e}}{\bar{t}} > 2.$$

Previous results give some links between α , r_∞ and u_∞ . So, it is enough to compute exactly u_∞ to be able to characterize all the other values. For this, we need some other preliminary estimates.

Lemma 4.6. $I_p := \int_0^{\frac{1-s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+}} \left(\frac{s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+} + t \right) \left(1 + \frac{z_p^+(t)}{p} \right)^p dt$ is bounded.

Proof. We have, substituting $t = \frac{s-s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+}$,

$$\begin{aligned} I_p &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon_p^+} \int_{s_p}^1 \left(\frac{s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+} + \frac{s-s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+} \right) \left(1 + \frac{z_p^+((s-s_p)/\varepsilon_p^+)}{p} \right)^p ds \\ &= \frac{1}{(\varepsilon_p^+)^2} \int_{s_p}^1 s \frac{u_p^p(s)}{u_p(s_p)^p} ds \\ &= \frac{p}{u_p(s_p)} \int_{s_p}^1 s u_p^p(s) ds \\ &\leq C_1 p \left(\int_{s_p}^1 s u_p^{p+1} \right)^{\frac{p}{p+1}} \leq C_2 \end{aligned}$$

since $u_p(s_p) \geq \frac{1}{2}$ by Proposition 2.3 and $\int_{s_p}^1 s u_p^{p+1}(s) ds$ is bounded by Proposition 2.1. $\square$

Lemma 4.7. $I_p := \int_0^{\frac{1-s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+}} \left(\frac{s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+} + t \right) \left(1 + \frac{z_p^+(t)}{p} \right)^p dt \rightarrow \alpha + 2$.

Proof. Let us denote by $I_\infty := \lim_{p \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^{\frac{1-s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+}} (s_p/\varepsilon_p^+ + t) (1 + z_p^+(t)/p)^p dt$. We first remark that $I_\infty \geq \alpha + 2 > 4$. Indeed, by Fatou's lemma and Lemma 4.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_\infty &\geq \liminf_{p \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^{\frac{1-s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+}} \left((s_p/\varepsilon_p^+ + s) \left(1 + \frac{z_p^+(s)}{p} \right)^p \right) ds \\ &\geq \int_0^{+\infty} (l+s) e^{\tilde{z}_l(s)} ds \\ &= \int_l^{+\infty} s e^{U_{\alpha,\beta}(s)} ds = \alpha + 2. \end{aligned}$$

By Pohozaëv identity we have

$$\frac{2}{p+1} \int_B |u_p(x)|^{p+1} dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial B} (x \cdot \nu) \left(\frac{\partial u_p(x)}{\partial \nu} \right)^2.$$

So, $\frac{2}{p+1} \int_0^1 |u_p(r)|^{p+1} r dr = \frac{1}{2} u_p'(1)^2$, i.e. $p \int_0^1 |u_p(r)|^{p+1} r dr = \frac{p(p+1)}{4} u_p'(1)^2$. Moreover, by the equation,

$$\begin{aligned} -u_p'(1) &= \int_0^{s_p} |u_p(r)|^{p-1} u(r) r dr + \int_{s_p}^1 |u_p(r)|^{p-1} u(r) r dr \\ &= \int_{s_p}^1 u_p^p(r) r dr \\ &= \varepsilon_p^+ \int_0^{\frac{1-s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+}} u_p^p(x_p + \varepsilon_p^+ s) (s_p + \varepsilon_p^+ s) ds \\ &= \frac{u_p(s_p)}{p} \int_0^{\frac{1-s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+}} (1 + z_p^+(s)/p)^p (s_p/\varepsilon_p^+ + s) ds \\ &= \frac{u_\infty + o(1)}{p} \int_0^{\frac{1-s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+}} (s_p/\varepsilon_p^+ + t) (1 + z_p^+(t)/p)^p dt. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$p \int_0^1 |u_p(r)|^{p+1} r dr = \frac{p(p+1)}{4} \frac{(u_\infty + o(1))^2}{p^2} I_p^2.$$

On the other hand, using Proposition 2.6 and Lemma 4.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} p \int_0^1 |u_p(r)|^{p+1} r dr &= p \int_0^{r_p} |u_p(r)|^{p+1} r dr + p \int_{r_p}^{s_p} |u_p(r)|^{p+1} r dr + p \int_{s_p}^1 |u_p(r)|^{p+1} r dr \\ &= \frac{4e + o(1)}{r_p^{4/(p-1)}} + p(\varepsilon_p^+)^2 u_p(s_p)^{p+1} \int_{\frac{r_p - s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+}}^0 (1 + z_p^+(s)/p)^{p+1} \left(\frac{s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+} + s \right) ds \\ &\quad + p(\varepsilon_p^+)^2 u_p(s_p)^{p+1} \int_0^{\frac{1-s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+}} (1 + z_p^+(s)/p)^{p+1} \left(\frac{s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+} + s \right) ds \\ &= \frac{4e + o(1)}{r_\infty^2 + o(1)} + (u_\infty^2(\alpha - 2) + o(1)) + u_p(s_p)^2 \int_0^{\frac{1-s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+}} \left(\frac{s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+} + s \right) (1 + z_p^+(s)/p)^{p+1} ds \\ &= \frac{4e + o(1)}{t^2 + o(1)} u_\infty^2 + u_\infty^2(\alpha - 2) + o(1) + u_p(s_p)^2 \int_0^{\frac{1-s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+}} \left(\frac{s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+} + s \right) (1 + z_p^+(s)/p)^{p+1} ds. \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

The inequality $(1 + z_p^+/p) \leq 1$ implies $(1 + z_p^+/p)^{p+1} \leq (1 + z_p^+/p)^p$. So we get

$$p \int_0^1 |u_p(r)|^{p+1} r dr \leq \frac{4e}{t^2 + o(1)} u_\infty^2 + u_\infty^2(\alpha - 2) + (u_\infty^2 + o(1)) I_p + o(1).$$

Hence Pohozaëv identity becomes

$$\frac{p(p+1)}{4} \frac{(u_\infty + o(1))^2}{p^2} I_p^2 \leq \frac{4e + o(1)}{t^2 + o(1)} u_\infty^2 + u_\infty^2(\alpha - 2) + (u_\infty^2 + o(1)) I_p + o(1).$$

Since I_p is bounded by Lemma 4.6, passing to the limit as $p \rightarrow +\infty$, we obtain

$$\frac{u_\infty^2}{4} I_\infty^2 \leq \frac{4e}{t^2} u_\infty^2 + u_\infty^2(\alpha - 2) + u_\infty^2 I_\infty.$$

Thus, by previous estimates (as $u_\infty > 0$), $\frac{I_\infty^2}{4} - I_\infty \leq \frac{4e}{t^2} + (\alpha - 2) = \frac{(\alpha-2)^2}{4} + (\alpha - 2) = \frac{(\alpha+2)^2}{4} - (\alpha + 2)$. Since the function $\frac{x^2}{4} - 4$ is increasing on $x \geq 2$ and we already proved that $I_\infty \geq \alpha + 2$, we directly get that $I_\infty = \alpha + 2$. $\square$

Lemma 4.8. $\frac{J_p}{p} := \frac{1}{p} \int_0^{\frac{1-s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+}} \left(\frac{s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+} + t \right) \left(1 + \frac{z_p^+(t)}{p} \right)^p \log(l+t+o(1)) dt = o(1)$ as $p \rightarrow +\infty$.

Proof. We prove that $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists p_0 > 0 : \forall p > p_0, \frac{J_p}{p} < \varepsilon$.

Let us fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and choose R_ε such that

$$\frac{2\alpha\beta^\alpha}{\beta^\alpha + (R_\varepsilon + l)^\alpha} < \frac{\varepsilon}{3(\log u_\infty + 1)}. \quad (23)$$

Then, for p large,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{J_p}{p} &= \frac{1}{p} \int_0^{R_\varepsilon} \left(\frac{s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+} + t \right) \left(1 + \frac{z_p^+(t)}{p} \right)^p \log(l+t+o(1)) dt \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{p} \int_{R_\varepsilon}^{\frac{1-s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+}} \left(\frac{s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+} + t \right) \left(1 + \frac{z_p^+(t)}{p} \right)^p \log(l+t+o(1)) dt \\ &= \frac{J'_p}{p} + \frac{J''_p}{p}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\left(\frac{s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+} + t \right) \left(1 + \frac{z_p^+(t)}{p} \right)^p \log(l+t+o(1)) \rightarrow (l+t)e^{\tilde{z}_l(t)} \log(l+t)$ and R_ε is fixed, we get $\frac{J'_p}{p} \rightarrow 0$. So, there exists p'_0 such that, for any $p > p'_0$, $\frac{J'_p}{p} < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$.

Then, for $\frac{J''_p}{p}$, we have

$$\log(l+t+o(1)) \leq \log\left(l + \frac{1-s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+} + o(1)\right) \leq |\log(l\varepsilon_p^+ + 1 - s_p + o(\varepsilon_p^+))| + |\log \varepsilon_p^+| \leq C_1 + C_2 p$$

for large p . Indeed, the first term is bounded and for the second one we have

$$2|\log \varepsilon_p^+| = \log(pu_p^{p-1}(s_p)) = \log p + (p-1)\log u_p(s_p) \leq C_2 p.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{J''_p}{p} &\leq \frac{C_1 + C_2 p}{p} \int_{R_\varepsilon}^{\frac{1-s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+}} \left(\frac{s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+} + t \right) \left(1 + \frac{z_p^+(t)}{p} \right)^p dt \\ &= o(1) + C_2 \int_{R_\varepsilon}^{\frac{1-s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+}} \left(\frac{s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+} + t \right) \left(1 + \frac{z_p^+(t)}{p} \right)^p dt. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, using Lemma 4.7,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{R_\varepsilon}^{\frac{1-s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+}} \left(\frac{s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+} + t \right) \left(1 + \frac{z_p^+(t)}{p} \right)^p dt &= \int_0^{\frac{1-s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+}} \left(\frac{s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+} + t \right) \left(1 + \frac{z_p^+(t)}{p} \right)^p dt \\ &\quad - \int_0^{R_\varepsilon} \left(\frac{s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+} + t \right) \left(1 + \frac{z_p^+(t)}{p} \right)^p dt \end{aligned}$$

which converges to $\alpha + 2 - \int_0^{R_\varepsilon} (l+t)e^{\tilde{z}_l(t)} dt = \alpha + 2 - \int_l^{R_\varepsilon+l} te^{Z_l(t)} dt$.

As $\int_l^{R_\varepsilon+l} t e^{Z_l(t)} dt = \alpha + 2 - \frac{2\alpha\beta^\alpha}{\beta^{\alpha+(R_\varepsilon+l)^\alpha}}$, we get $\frac{J_p''}{p} \leq o(1) + C_2 \left(o(1) + \frac{2\alpha\beta^\alpha}{\beta^{\alpha+(R_\varepsilon+l)^\alpha}} \right)$. Hence, by definition of R_ε , there exists p_0'' such that for all $p > p_0''$

$$\frac{J_p''}{p} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + C_2 \frac{\varepsilon}{3C_2} = \frac{2\varepsilon}{3}.$$

This ends the proof using $p_0 = \min(p_0', p_0'')$. □

Proposition 4.9. $u_\infty = e^{\frac{2}{\alpha+2}}$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.1, substituting $s = s_p + \varepsilon_p^+ t$, we derive

$$\begin{aligned} -u_p(s_p) &= \varepsilon_p^+ \int_0^{\frac{1-s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+}} (s_p + \varepsilon_p^+ t) \log(s_p + \varepsilon_p^+ t) u_p^p(s_p + \varepsilon_p^+ t) dt \\ &= (\varepsilon_p^+)^2 u_p^p(s_p) \int_0^{\frac{1-s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+}} (s_p/\varepsilon_p^+ + t) \log(s_p + \varepsilon_p^+ t) (1 + z_p^+(t)/p)^p dt. \end{aligned}$$

Using the same idea as in equation (19), we get

$$\log(s_p + \varepsilon_p^+ t) - \log u_p(s_p) = \log(l + t + o(1)) + \frac{1}{2} \log(1/p) - \frac{p+1}{2} \log(u_p(s_p)),$$

i.e. $\log(s_p + \varepsilon_p^+ t) = \log(l + t + o(1)) + \frac{1}{2} \log(1/p) - \frac{p-1}{2} \log(u_p(s_p))$. It implies that

$$\begin{aligned} -u_p(s_p) &= \frac{u_p(s_p)}{p} \int_0^{\frac{1-s_p}{\varepsilon_p^+}} (s_p/\varepsilon_p^+ + t) (1 + z_p^+(t)/p)^p \left(-\frac{p-1}{2} \log(u_p(s_p)) + \frac{1}{2} \log(1/p) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \log(l + t + o(1)) \right) dt \\ &= \left(-\frac{u_\infty}{2} \log u_\infty + o(1) \right) I_p + o(1) I_p + \frac{u_p(s_p)}{p} J_p. \end{aligned}$$

As $I_p \rightarrow \alpha + 2$ by Lemma 4.7 and $\frac{J_p}{p} = o(1)$ by Lemma 4.8, we get that $-u_\infty = -\frac{1}{2} u_\infty \log u_\infty (\alpha + 2)$ which ends the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 1, Theorem 2 and Theorem 3 : Proposition 2.7 and Proposition 3.1 give Theorem 1. Then, combining Proposition 4.9 and Remark 4.5 we obtain the convergence of $\|u_p^+\|_\infty$ (see equation (6)) which is the first part of Theorem 2.

Since $r_\infty = \frac{\bar{t}}{e^{\frac{2}{\alpha+2}}}$ by equation (21), we get Theorem 3.

From this result, we directly get the convergence of $\|u_p^-\|_\infty$ using Proposition 2.6 (see equation (5)) which is the second point of Theorem 2.

Finally, by the equation (22) and as $I_p \rightarrow \alpha + 2$, we get that $p \int_B |\nabla u_p|^2 \rightarrow \frac{8\pi e}{r_\infty^2} + 4\pi\alpha u_\infty^2$. Using Proposition 4.9, Remark 4.5 and equation (21), we deduce (7) which is the last part of Theorem 2. □

5. LOW ENERGY SOLUTIONS – ASYMPTOTIC ANTISYMMETRY

We end by proving *Theorem 5*: Let us denote by w_p a family of low energy nodal solutions, i.e. solutions satisfying (1), having Morse index two. In [10], we have proved that, under the assumption (B) stated in the introduction, pw_p converges, up to a subsequence, to $8\pi\sqrt{e}(G(.,x^+) - G(.,x^-))$ where G is the Green function

on B and x^+ and x^- are the limit points of the maximum point x_p^+ and the minimum point x_p^- of w_p . Moreover it holds

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_i}(x^+, x^-) - \frac{\partial H}{\partial x_i}(x^+, x^+) = 0, \\ \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_i}(x^-, x^+) - \frac{\partial H}{\partial x_i}(x^-, x^-) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (24)$$

for $i = 1, 2$, where H denotes the regular part of G . Since the domain is a ball we have that

$$G(x, y) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \ln|x - y| + \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln|y| + \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln|x - y/y^2|$$

and

$$H(x, y) = G(x, y) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln|x - y|.$$

Since w_p have Morse index 2, by the symmetry result of [4, 11] we deduce that w_p are foliated Schwarz symmetric, i.e. they are even with respect to a diameter and monotone in the polar angle. Moreover, by [2], w_p cannot be radial and this implies that the maximum point x_p^+ and the minimum point x_p^- are on the same diameter but on different sides with respect to the centre of the ball. Therefore, up to rotation, we can assume without loss of generality that $x^+ = (0, a)$ and $x^- = (0, -b)$ with $a > 0, b > 0$. Inserting this information in (24) we get the system

$$\begin{cases} \frac{-1}{a+b} + \frac{b}{ab+1} - \frac{a}{a^2-1} = 0, \\ \frac{1}{a+b} - \frac{a}{ab+1} - \frac{b}{1-b^2} = 0. \end{cases}$$

whose unique solution is $a = b = \sqrt{-2 + \sqrt{5}}$. Hence the points x^+ and x^- are antipodal and so the limit function $G(\cdot, x^+) - G(\cdot, x^-)$ is even with respect to the diameter passing through x^+ and x^- and odd with respect to the orthogonal diameter. Then the assertion of Theorem 5 is proved. $\square$

Remark 5.1. *Let us consider the least energy nodal solutions $\tilde{w}_p$ of $(\mathcal{P}_p)$. By [10] we know that they satisfy (1) and by [3] we know that they have Morse index two. Therefore if we know that they satisfy condition (B), Theorem 5 would apply and we could claim that $\tilde{w}_p$ are asymptotically antisymmetric with respect to a diameter. We believe that this is true but so far we have not been able to prove (B) for this kind of solutions.*

REFERENCES

- [1] Adimurthi and Massimo Grossi. Asymptotic estimates for a two-dimensional problem with polynomial nonlinearity. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 132(4):1013–1019 (electronic), 2004.
- [2] Amandine Aftalion and Filomena Pacella. Qualitative properties of nodal solutions of semilinear elliptic equations in radially symmetric domains. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 339(5):339–344, 2004.
- [3] Thomas Bartsch and Tobias Weth. A note on additional properties of sign changing solutions to superlinear elliptic equations. *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.*, 22(1):1–14, 2003.
- [4] Thomas Bartsch, Tobias Weth, and Michel Willem. Partial symmetry of least energy nodal solutions to some variational problems. *J. Anal. Math.*, 96:1–18, 2005.

- [5] Mohamed Ben Ayed, Khalil El Mehdi, and Filomena Pacella. Blow-up and nonexistence of sign changing solutions to the Brezis-Nirenberg problem in dimension three. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, 23(4):567–589, 2006.
- [6] Mohamed Ben Ayed, Khalil El Mehdi, and Filomena Pacella. Classification of low energy sign-changing solutions of an almost critical problem. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 250(2):347–373, 2007.
- [7] Francesca De Marchis, Isabella Ianni, and Filomena Pacella. Sign changing solutions to lane emden problems with interior nodal line and semilinear heat equations. *preprint*.
- [8] Khalil El Mehdi and Massimo Grossi. Asymptotic estimates and qualitative properties of an elliptic problem in dimension two. *Adv. Nonlinear Stud.*, 4(1):15–36, 2004.
- [9] Massimo Grossi. Asymptotic behaviour of the Kazdan-Warner solution in the annulus. *J. Differential Equations*, 223(1):96–111, 2006.
- [10] Massimo Grossi, Christopher Grumiau, and Filomena Pacella. Lane emden problems: asymptotic behavior of low energy nodal solutions. *Annales de l’Institut H. Poincaré*, 2012 (to appear, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1206.3971>).
- [11] Filomena Pacella and Tobias Weth. Symmetry of solutions to semilinear elliptic equations via Morse index. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 135(6):1753–1762 (electronic), 2007.
- [12] Angela Pistoia and Tobias Weth. Sign changing bubble tower solutions in a slightly subcritical semilinear Dirichlet problem. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, 24(2):325–340, 2007.
- [13] J. Prajapat and G. Tarantello. On a class of elliptic problems in $\mathbb{R}^2$: symmetry and uniqueness results. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A*, 131(4):967–985, 2001.
- [14] Walter A. Strauss. Existence of solitary waves in higher dimensions. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 55(2):149–162, 1977.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITA’ DI ROMA “SAPIENZA”, P. LE A. MORO 2,
00185 ROMA, ITALY

E-mail address: grossi@mat.uniroma.it (M. Grossi)

E-mail address: pacella@mat.uniroma.it (F. Pacella)

INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUE, UNIVERSITÉ DE MONS, 20, PLACE DU PARC, B-7000 MONS,
BELGIUM

E-mail address: Christopher.Grumiau@umons.ac.be (C. Grumiau)